

2016 AP Psych Symposium
Checkpoint Charlie #3
Rough Draft

The point of the rough draft is to ensure that you are beginning to assemble your research product. The assumption for this assignment is that you should have *at least* half of the work completed by the due date. The rough draft allows for the teacher to check-in on how things are progressing and allows for the teacher to guide the group or individual toward successful completion of the project.

For a Research Paper:

Your research paper should include only the text that you are ready to present at this point. You do not have to include a full title page or abstract at this point, but do have your margins and double-spacing included. [See this example](#). Your work should include your thesis statement and include work that you have completed to this point. Your paper must be formatted according to APA guidelines (with the exception of a full title page, abstract, or running head in the header), and include a reference page of what you've cited as of the date submitted. (In other words, citations and references) should only be those that are shown in the number of pages in the rough draft you submit.

For a Speaking Presentation (Slide Presentation, Filmed Presentation, Podcast):

Submit a sentence outline of your presentation. This, literally, is your presentation typed out as you intend to present it to the audience. Citations are included wherever the citation is necessary. The outline should include a reference page. See [this resource](#) for an example of how an outline should be formatted. Eventually, you will be required to format your outline in full APA style (title page, abstract, running head in the margin, numbered pages), but you are not required to have that prepared for the rough draft.

(Note: your final product will include an outline of the entire presentation, as well.)

Grading Criteria for Outlines and Papers (referred to as "product" below):

20 Points:

- The product reflects that more than half of the project is prepared to this point. From the product's appearance, the team or individual is nearly complete.
- The product reflects citations that correspond to the References page; both are formatted without error.
- The product reflects quality work that demonstrates an attention to detail (i.e., margins, double-spacing, quality of research) and full research of academic sources beyond your textbook. The minimum number of five sources is provided.

18-16 Points:

- The product reflects that at least half of the project is prepared to this point. From the product's appearance, the team or individual is close to being complete.
- The product reflects citations that correspond to the References page; both are formatted with few errors.
- The product reflects work that provides the essentials of what the final product will entail and shows some care for detail (i.e., margins, double-spacing, quality of research). Academic resources make up some of the citations. At least four resources are provided.

14 Points:

- The product appears as if less than half of the project is prepared to this point. From the product's appearance, the team or individual still have much work to do in order to complete according to guidelines.
- The product reflects citations (and a References page) that may be formatted incorrectly; some citations may not appear on the References page, while others may appear on the References page but are not included in the paper.
- The product reflects work that gives a basic idea of what the final product will entail, but little care for detail has been included to this point. Academic research is sparse and may include the course textbook. There are no more than three sources provided, which indicates that work has not progressed much beyond the Annotated Bibliography due date.

10 Points:

- The product is hastily arranged or is completed at a level that makes it difficult to discern how far along the group or individual has completed the project.
- Citations (or the References page) are either missing and are cited and formatted incorrectly.
- The product does not reflect quality work or academic research; the product may be formatted entirely incorrectly.